

CityConsult: SUMP-PLUS Service Delivery Options





Cross sector links and service delivery options

Cross-sector 'Links' go beyond just supporting transport policy measures, to ensure that the measures implemented to deliver business service delivery models consider the implications of those decisions for the transport sector. For example, when considering, say, the location of a new hospital or shopping centre, by taking into account the consequences of that locational decision for traffic congestion, air pollution, physical activity, etc.

While, historically, most sectors would have regarded such consequences as externalities that lay outside their areas of interest or responsibility, growing commitments to achieving net zero carbon are encouraging many companies and organisations to take transport carbon emissions into account in their forward planning, when they adopt 'Scope 3' carbon accounting.

| Direction of impact | Type of impact | Examples of impacts |
|---|---|---|
| Transport → Sector =>SUMP-PLUS PARTNERSHIPS | A: Vehicle-related impacts | Traffic accidents Air quality Noise levels CO ₂ emissions Congestion & Delays |
| | B : Mobility- and access- related impacts | Provision for walking, cycling and public transport Access to sector facilities |
| Sector → Transport => SUMP-PLUS LINKS | C : Impacts of decision-making regarding facility locations and service/business delivery models (freight and passenger) | Number of trips to sector facilities Trip lengths to sector facilities Scope to walk, cycle or use public transport |



Service Delivery Option Table



This table shows four general ways in which public and private sector organisations can provide their goods and services to their customers, at fixed or mobile sites, or to or within homes.

Use this simple table to explore new service delivery models that help to reduce travel, and thereby carbon and other negative externalities.

| Form of delivery | Details | Consequences |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| Fixed Physical Facilities | Trade-offs: Numbers vs Size | Varying size of catchment areas (trip lengths) and modal options |
| Mobile | Neighbourhood provision | Access on foot, but limited temporal availability |
| Provision to people's homes | Goods deliveries | Ordered by occupier or professional agency |
| | Personal services | Providing forms of care |
| Provision in-home | Physically | Purchase of equipment |
| | Digitally | Internet + receiver |



Case Study: Health



Successful measures to reduce the need to travel while accessing healthcare are linked directly to health sector strategies that deliver patient care pathways, etc.

These include measures to: Reduce the volume of personal travel from home, by: substituting physical meetings with on-line consultations; providing services within the home; making prescription deliveries to homes, to replace visits to pharmacies; and provide at-home visits. Shorten health-related trips through the localisation of some types of health facilities.

Use this table to discuss with Health colleagues within a City Integrator.

| Accessibility and mobility framework | | Transport benefit | Interventions (examples included) | Impacts | | |
|--|----------------------------|--|---|-------------------------------------|-------|----------------------------------|
| | | | Туре | Customers/ patients/ visitors | Staff | Logistics |
| | " AVOID " travel | 1. Reduced volume of travel | Internet communication | NHS Direct Remote consultations | | |
| S | | | In-home service provision | Dialysis machines | | Home de <mark>ll</mark> ivery |
| L I N K S | | | Home deliveries | Prescriptions | | Home dellivery |
| | | | Health-related visits to homes | District nurses | | |
| | | 2. Shorter health- related trips | Localisation of health facilities | District health centres | | Local delliveries |



Case Study: Education



In Europe, most education services are provided by the public sector. In principle, this gives the education sector a similar degree of flexibility as in the health sector, to adopt policy measures that 'Avoid' the need for travel. However, in practice the options may be far more limited compared to the healthcare sector, due to the fact that face-to-face interaction is recognised as centrally important to primary and secondary and tertiary education.

| Accessibility and mobility framework | | Transport Benefit | Type of Intervention | Examples |
|--|--------------------------|--|--|------------------------------------|
| LINKS | 'AVOID' Travel | 1. Reduced volume of personal travel | Education-related visits to pupils' homes | Personal tutors Remote teaching |
| | | | | ~ |
| | | Shorter education- related Trips | Localisation of education facilities | Smaller sized schools |
| | | 3. Less congested or crowded trips | Re-timing of school activities | Later start to the school day |

Consider using the table to discuss possibilities to reduce the need to travel, with education colleagues. Pay attention to the location of schools and introduce inter-municipal collaboration as a key factor when running a Education City Integrator.



Case Study: Tourism



In contrast to the other sectors, the breadth of organisations involved is much more diverse (from public authorities to charities and the private sector), with a wide range of independent actors. In terms of travel, there are two distinct parts: the (longer distance) journey between home and the attraction and local travel in the vicinity of the attraction, particularly when people stay overnight. Compared with other sectors, there appears to be very limited scope to introduce tourism policies that avoid the need to travel.

Expand the discussion to include modal shift, and consider including public transport operators alongside tourist operators in your Tourism City Integrator. Use the Options Table to encourage creative thinking.

| Accessibility and mobility framework | | Transport Benefit | Type of Intervention | Examples |
|---|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|---|---|
| LINKS | 'AVOID' Travel | 1. Reduced volume of personal travel | Internet communication | Online tours of museums and tourist sites |
| | | 2. Shorter tourism- related trips | Encouragement to visit more local tourist facilities | Advertising campaigns; 'sustainable tourism' concept |
| | | | Co-location/clustering of tourism-related facilities | Spatial planning and proactive tourism management |
| | | 3. Less congested or crowded trips | Encouraging temporal dispersion of visitors throughout the year | Tourism concepts and/or visitor incentives encouraging travel in off- peak season |

